

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

Digital Repository

Retrospective Theses and Dissertations

Iowa State University Capstones, Theses and
Dissertations

1989

In Cold Blood and The Executioner's Song: a generic reconception

Norma A. Lynch

Iowa State University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd>

 Part of the [American Literature Commons](#), [Literature in English, North America Commons](#), and the [Nonfiction Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Lynch, Norma A., "In Cold Blood and The Executioner's Song: a generic reconception" (1989). *Retrospective Theses and Dissertations*. 80.

<https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd/80>

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Iowa State University Capstones, Theses and Dissertations at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Retrospective Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact digirep@iastate.edu.

In Cold Blood and The Executioner's Song:

A generic reconception

by

Norma A. Lynch

A Thesis Submitted to the
Graduate Faculty in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF ARTS

Department: English
Major: English (Literature)

Approved:

In Charge of Major Work

For the Major Department

For the Graduate College

Iowa State University
Ames, Iowa

1989

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
PREVIOUS GENERIC CONCEPTIONS OF THE NONFICTION NOVEL	2
The Theory of Tom Wolfe	2
The Theory of John Hollowell	4
The Theory of John Hellmann	7
GENRE THEORY	10
The Theory of E. D. Hirsch and Mikhail Bakhtin	10
A NEW GENERIC CONCEPTION OF THE NONFICTION NOVEL	14
A Definition of the Nonfiction Novel	14
The Author/Reader Relationship of the Nonfiction Novel	16
<u>IN COLD BLOOD</u> AND <u>THE EXECUTIONER'S SONG</u> RECONCEIVED	21
Contrasting Approaches to Generic Reader Cues	21
The Role of the Interpreter in <u>In Cold Blood</u>	27
The Role of the Interpreter in <u>The Executioner's Song</u>	37
Polar Versions of the Nonfiction Novel	48
WORKS CITED	51
WORKS CONSULTED	53

INTRODUCTION

In Cold Blood and The Executioner's Song invite examination in that they represent polar versions of the nonfiction novel, an intriguing genre whose boundaries have yet to be adequately defined. Previous attempts to formulate a theory of the genre of the nonfiction novel by Tom Wolfe, John Hollowell, and John Hellmann have yielded useful contributions to a theoretical conception of the genre, but they fall short because the three studies neglect critical dimensions of genre theory. Additionally, the three theoretical conceptions exhibit difficulty in accounting for the factual content of novelistic works. The following thesis is an attempt to redefine the nonfiction novel in order to arrive at a renewed conception of In Cold Blood and The Executioner's Song. The first section examines the theoretical formulations of the nonfiction novel offered by Wolfe, Hollowell, and Hellmann. A section on the genre theory of E. D. Hirsch and Mikhail Bakhtin suggests a means of arriving at a new conception of the nonfiction novel and leads to a succeeding section in which the nonfiction novel is redefined. The final section examines In Cold Blood and The Executioner's Song in light of the approach derived from Hirsch and Bakhtin.

PREVIOUS GENERIC CONCEPTIONS OF THE NONFICTION NOVEL

In recent years, Tom Wolfe, John Hollowell, and John Hellmann have offered generic conceptions of the nonfiction novel. A characteristic common to the three theoretical formulations is that they fail to fully account for the nature of "factual" information in novelistic accounts.

The Theory of Tom Wolfe

Of the studies on the nonfiction novel conducted by Wolfe, Hollowell, and Hellmann, Wolfe's is probably the most entertaining, but also the most incomplete. Published in 1973, Wolfe's The New Journalism defines the nonfiction novel (then termed new journalism) as a composite of techniques or "devices" which the author claims the early new journalists borrowed from the realistic novel. He lists four devices which he sees as definitive elements of new journalism (31-32): "scene by scene construction" of a story; a recording of dialogue in full detail; the use of various, focal characters' third person point of view; and a recording of all the "symbolic" details that constitute the characters' "status life." Wolfe concludes:

The result is a form that is not merely like a novel. It consumes devices that happen to have originated with the novel and mixes them with every other device known to prose. And all the

while, quite beyond the matters of technique, it enjoys an advantage so obvious, so built-in, one almost forgets what a power it has: the simple fact that the reader knows all this actually happened. (34)

Wolfe's final crucial ingredient of "this actually happened" invites scrutiny. Wolfe's theory relies on the journalistic belief in "objective" reporting of events, but the dilemma, of course, lies in recovering the irretrievable. For every event that takes place, there are as many accounts of that event as there are people who tell them. In In Cold Blood Capote is, in fact, giving his version of what "actually happened" in the Clutter murders; subsequently, the story becomes a subjective shaping of "facts" created by Capote's vision--in effect, an individual "fiction." Likewise, Mailer's vision of the events leading to Gary Gilmore's execution is a subjective one, a personalized fiction. The dividing line between fact and fiction is an imprecise one at best, and the nature of the nonfiction novel requires that this epistemological issue be addressed. Wolfe, however, fails to account for the author's individual vision which fictionalizes the reconstructed events.

Additionally, Wolfe's four devices become problematic when they are applied to specific works. Although In Cold Blood exhibits all of these devices, The Executioner's Song only rarely records dialogue in full detail, a factor that suggests Wolfe's elements are ineffectual as distinguishing

features of the genre. Furthermore, his list appears to be arbitrary and subjective. Other devices are as common in nonfiction novels as Wolfe's four choices of techniques. For example, Wolfe could have just as easily described the genre as including a full physical and psychological portrait of each primary character, as does The Executioner's Song, or the device of nonchronological presentation of events, as does In Cold Blood. Wolfe's arbitrary devices and his incomplete appraisal of "factual" reporting suggest that the genre requires additional examination.

The Theory of John Hollowell

Similar problems are evident in Hollowell's analysis of the nonfiction novel in his 1977 study Fact and Fiction. Essentially, Hollowell adds little to Wolfe's earlier assessment of the nonfiction novel; however, he appears to recognize the inherent problems in "reporting" what "actually happened." For example, he describes the writer of a nonfiction novel as a "guide" (15) rather than an objective reporter. Hollowell points out that critics have disputed Capote's claim to "objectivity" in reporting "facts" in In Cold Blood, and he initially appears to agree with their criticism.

Narratives abstracted and reduced from the chaotic flow of experience itself acquire a structure and a meaning from the necessary choices the writer

must make Capote's heightening of dialogue and his selection of significant moments to depict suggest that every detail, every fact, is fraught with meaning. (73-74)

Yet Hollowell retreats from this stance when he insists that Capote is "merely" saying "all this happened, these facts exist," and later that Capote "resists the temptation to impose meaning" (74,79). Both of these observations suggest that Hollowell agrees with Wolfe's theory of the journalistic reporting of events.

Hollowell leans heavily on Wolfe's devices in his examination of the genre, but further restricts the ontological status by prescribing four areas of subject matter and providing five additional "assumptions and techniques" shared by the works. The subject matter of the nonfiction novel is a reflection of the turbulence of the '60s and '70s, says Hollowell, and can be divided into four categories (40): celebrities and personalities; deviant cultural patterns; violent crimes; and social and political reporting. While Hollowell's assessment of the material for current nonfiction novels may be accurate, this approach is a type of analysis which yields few insights about the nature of the form. Although Capote and Mailer's novels both focus on violent crimes, the two works could address entirely different types of subject matter and still be termed nonfiction novels. Categories of subject matter tell us little about the nature

of a form.

The techniques and assumptions Hollowell sees manifested in the works of the genre are also bound to the social climate of the '60s and '70s (15-16): the writer is a witness to "moral dilemmas" in current society; the writer becomes "his own protagonist, frequently a guide through a region of contemporary hell;" the form utilizes techniques from the novel, the confession, the autobiography, and the journalistic report; the form has a "mood of impending apocalypse;" and the form is an "appropriate" alternative to realistic fiction. Hollowell's perceptions are not necessarily wrong, they are merely unsatisfactory in adequately describing how the nonfiction novel fits into a concept of generic form. A theory of genre based on techniques and social influences must be continually adjusted as the techniques and influences adjust, and subsequently, no generic "whole" emerges.

Aside from his views of sociological influences and occasional observations about the difficulty of "objectively" reporting facts, Hollowell's analysis is based primarily on Wolfe's earlier composite of techniques. With these elements as his basis, however, Hollowell acknowledges, "In the end, attempts to place a work like In Cold Blood definitely in a generic category appear doomed" (83). What Hollowell has actually encountered is the collapse of his own system.

The Theory of John Hellmann

Hellmann finds the task of defining the nonfiction novel not nearly so insurmountable in his analysis of the form. In Fables of Fact, Hellmann provides more acute insights than either Wolfe or Hollowell. Hellmann employs genre theory from Northrop Frye as his basis for analysis of the nonfiction novel in order to account for the apparent conflict between fact (what "actually happened") and fiction.

The major division in types of writing, as Frye has pointed out, is between the literary and the descriptive or assertive. If we accept Frye's definition of fiction as literary prose, then our division is properly between the fictional and the assertive. This reformulation of the issues eliminates the unfortunate illusory separation of fictional and factual writing--illusory because it seems to separate aesthetic form and purpose from a certain subject matter: fact. (21)

Hellmann explains that by "assertive" writing, Frye is referring to a work that points outward "to represent things external to it, and it is valued in terms of the accuracy with which it does represent them" (22). In literary prose (fiction) the final direction is inward toward the verbal world. Hellmann sees the ultimate direction of the nonfiction novel as inward; thus, he contends, it is primarily a work of fiction.

The difference between this genre and other fictional works, says Hellmann, lies in the "author/reader contract." He describes the contract as an implicit agreement in which

the author is "promising the reader that he is dealing in pure fact" (11). Hellmann's perception of the contract flaws his otherwise perceptive theory of the genre. The concept of the contract suggests that authors such as Mailer and Capote must make an implicit "promise" to the reader that they will adhere to factual observations in their works. Such a promise is unlikely in nonfiction novels in that the author's imposition of a subjective narrative structure colors and distorts events beyond the realm of "facts." Despite his contention that the author of the nonfiction novel is held to this journalistic contract, Hellmann recognizes the inherent problems in presenting what "actually happened:"

Admirers of conventional journalism have portrayed the conflict with new journalism as one of objectivity versus subjectivity and fact versus fiction. However, it is actually a conflict of a disguised perspective versus an admitted one, and a corporate fiction versus a personal one. In either case, journalism is necessarily an extension of all human perception and communication in its fictional (that is, shaping) quality. Because it is a product of the human mind and language journalism can never passively mirror the whole of reality, but must instead actively select, transform, and interpret it. (4)

In this passage, Hellmann acknowledges the fictionalizing quality of human perception and points out that any reporter of events transforms those events; both of these observations appear to undermine his theory of the journalistic contract in which the author has promised to "deal in pure fact." However, Hellmann's recognition here of the author in the

capacity of an interpreter provides a key contribution in coming to a better understanding of the nature of the nonfiction novel. The interpretive role the author assumes in the nonfiction novel forms the basis for the relationship between the author and reader; that relationship underlies the generic nature of the nonfiction novel. A more precise understanding of the generic relationship between the reader and author of the nonfiction novel can be gained through theory derived from E. D. Hirsch and Mikhail Bakhtin.

GENRE THEORY

An application of genre theory to a reformulation of the nonfiction novel provides a foundation for approaching the genre with a focused view of what constitutes a generic "whole." E. D. Hirsch and Mikhail Bakhtin account for the generic whole in their theories of the generic author/reader relationship.

The Theory of E. D. Hirsch and Mikhail Bakhtin

Hirsch and Bakhtin approach the theoretical analysis of genre with similar concerns. Their approaches provide the basis for a more complete theory of the nonfiction novel in that they can account for the aspects missed by Wolfe and Hollowell and further elucidate what Hellmann began but failed to complete with his use of Frye and of the journalistic contract. Hirsch and Bakhtin recognize the futility of attempting to define a genre by its elements; instead, they focus on the dynamic nature of genre which constructs a relationship between author and reader.

Both theorists recognize the potent and organizing influence of context in communications. They see context as a complex aspect which includes not only extraverbal dimensions such as setting, situation, and cultural attitudes, but also vocabulary, semantics, and language conventions in general. Underlying and forming the basis for communications (of which context is a structuring feature) is the author's

intention and the reader's attempt to interpret and understand that intention; these two aspects combine to facilitate communications. Bakhtin explains how generic form is a key factor affecting the complex roles of speaker (author) and listener (reader).

In each utterance--from single-word, everyday rejoinders to large, complex works of science or literature--we embrace, understand, and sense the speaker's speech plan or speech will, which determines the entire utterance, its length and boundaries. We imagine to ourselves what the speaker wishes to say This plan determines both the choice of the subject itself (under certain conditions of speech communication, in necessary connection with preceding utterances), as well as its boundaries and its semantic exhaustiveness. It also determines, of course, the generic form in which the utterance will be constructed The speaker's speech will is manifested primarily in the choice of a particular speech genre.
(77-78)

Bakhtin describes genres as flexible forms which serve as vehicles for various functions based on the speaker's intention ("speech plan"). The speaker's speech plan, which is founded on and formulated toward the active response of the listener, determines the "form" needed. Listeners, says Bakhtin, "quickly grasp the speaker's speech plan, his speech will. And from the very beginning of his words they sense the developing whole of the utterance" (78). Thus, genres, according to Bakhtin, are heuristic devices for the listener and structuring devices for the speaker. In everyday speech and in more complex communications, says Bakhtin, "We learn to cast our speech in generic forms and when hearing others'

speech we guess its genre from the very first words . . ."
 (79). Bakhtin's theory suggests that speakers (authors)
 begin with a generic intention--a speech will--and listeners
 (readers) attempt to discern that intention from the "very
 first words." The author and reader establish a relationship
 based on their respective approaches to the generic whole.

Hirsch agrees that for communication to occur, speakers
 and listeners must have shared generic expectations. Like
 Bakhtin, Hirsch believes that a genre for the speaker is
 constitutive and for the listener it is interpretive, and the
 two must perceive a generic "whole" in order for meaning
 to be conveyed.

Even when the meaning which the speaker wishes
 to convey is unusual (and some aspects of his
 conveyed meaning will almost always be unique)
 he knows that in order to convey his meaning he
 must take into account his interpreter's probable
 understanding. If his interpreter's system of
 expectations and associations is to correspond to
 his own, he must adopt usages which will fulfill
 not only his own expectations but also those of
 his interpreter.

. . . Obviously those expectations must belong
 to a type of meaning rather than merely to a
 unique meaning (80)

Hirsch terms these types of meanings "intrinsic genres"
 which he defines as a "sense of the whole by means of which
 an interpreter can correctly understand any part of its deter-
 minancy" (86). For Hirsch then, like Bakhtin, the intrinsic
 genre serves as a heuristic for the reader who is attempting
 to both discover and interpret the author's intention.

The intrinsic genre, Hirsch contends, is structured and determined through the author's purpose. It is important to recognize that the author's "purpose" as conceived by Hirsch, and the author's "speech plan" as conceived by Bakhtin, are not interchangeable terms in that Bakhtin's conception encompasses more aspects. Hirsch suggests that the author's purpose forms the author's "meaning" and, furthermore, that readers are able to recover the author's specific intended meaning. Bakhtin makes no such claim. He proposes only that the speech plan controls the author's choice of material and that readers "grasp" the plan and subsequently "sense the developing whole of the utterance." Nonetheless, Hirsch and Bakhtin's generic concerns are similar: according to each of these theorists, genre is formulated through the author's intention and serves as a heuristic for the reader who is attempting to discover that intention. For both Hirsch and Bakhtin a reductive formal account of genre serves little purpose and offers little understanding into generic nature and function. Rather, the nature of a genre is better understood through the relationship it generates between the sender and the receiver of ideas.

A NEW GENERIC CONCEPTION OF THE NONFICTION NOVEL

A generic conception of the nonfiction novel can be offered based on the author/reader relationship that evolves in response to the author's role as an interpreter.

A Definition of the Nonfiction Novel

When Hirsch and Bakhtin's understanding of the dynamic quality of genre is applied to the nonfiction novel, we see the genre in a new light. The nonfiction novel must first be understood in terms of the relationship it structures between the author and reader before it can be defined. To describe the nonfiction novel as a work "based on fact" is inadequate because the description tells us little about the relationship between the author and reader and it poses additional epistemological problems. The generic relationship cannot be understood as a "journalistic contract" between author and reader because the author cannot be held to an agreement he or she may be unable or unwilling to fulfill. Rather, the generic relationship between the reader and the author of the nonfiction novel is founded on the author's role as an interpreter. This formulation of the relationship diminishes epistemological problems and leads to a more precise definition of the genre.

Previous definitions of the nonfiction novel have been based on the view that the genre consists of fact-based works, but a recognition of the author as an interpreter, as opposed

to a reporter, suggests these definitions are incomplete. Rather than a reconstruction of what "actually happened," the nonfiction novel can better be defined as an interpretive vehicle for something that has happened. The best that can be said of this event--this something that has happened--is that "verifiable evidence" supports its existence. Verifiable evidence should not be mistaken for "facts," as Wolfe, Hollowell, and Hellmann have done in their studies, but should be recognized for what it is: recorded individual views of an event. "Facts" is misleading because it suggests a "truth" or "reality" and results in a faulty generic conception. Verifiable evidence, on the other hand, is merely a document in which participants or witnesses record their perception of an event: the evidence can consist of anything from court documents, to police records, to similar first person accounts. (Officials--police, judges, and so forth--cannot provide "facts" about an event; they merely record their views in official documents.) Readers accept the concept that verifiable evidence exists to support Capote's interpretation of the Clutter murders and Mailer's interpretation of the events leading to Gary Gilmore's execution. Nonetheless, these novels are not factual representations of the events, nor even works "based on facts," but interpretive vehicles which incorporate verifiable evidence. Every nonfiction novel should first be understood in its

capacity as an interpretive vehicle for a recent or existing event (for which verifiable evidence is available) in order for its elements to be examined productively.

The type of event the author chooses to interpret has little effect on the nature of the form. Whether that "something that has happened" involves celebrities, crimes, or any other topic is irrelevant: the author's choice of which experience to address serves as one form of the interpretation. The author is in essence saying: this experience is more worthy of interpretation than others. Like the author, the reader perceives the genre as an interpretive vehicle for a current event. The reader approaches the nonfiction novel seeking an interpretation of the author's choice of an experience and anticipating an incorporation of verifiable evidence to support the existence of that experience. Both author and reader share the same generic whole and their generic relationship is established.

The Author/Reader Relationship of the Nonfiction Novel

The manner in which authors of nonfiction novels present their interpretations suggests that the author's intention (whether it be Bakhtin's all encompassing "speech plan" or Hirsch's more narrow "purpose") in the nonfiction novel is not only to interpret, but also to persuade the reader to accept the author's particular interpretation of something that has happened. All levels of discourse are inherently

persuasive--speakers wish listeners to believe their utterances--but the authors of nonfiction novels make a unique use of persuasive techniques in order to present their accounts as perceptive interpretations. Capote's techniques suggest that he set out to convince his readers to accept his vision of the events leading to the Clutter murders. In the Gilmore case, Mailer appears to have begun with the same intention: to persuade his readers to accept his account of the Gary Gilmore execution. All authors of nonfiction novels employ techniques which point to their generic intention of attempting to persuade their readers to accept--to essentially agree with--their interpretation of a particular event.

The elements that Wolfe presents as fictive techniques of realism, then, can also be seen as a means of achieving the author's intention. The scene by scene construction, the dialogue (often created rather than "reported"), the intense third person point of view, and the incorporation of "status" details, all serve as devices to persuade the reader that the author has access to intimate details of the occurrence and, subsequently, can decipher the experience better than anyone else.

Undoubtedly, authors are generally successful in their persuasive efforts, and the reader leaves a work persuaded to agree with the author's interpretation. In other words, the reader will accept the author's version of "something

that has happened" as a perceptive view of what "actually happened." Hellmann appears to recognize this dimension of the genre when he points out that even though the final direction of the nonfiction novel is inward (a constructed verbal world) the reader perceives it to be outward (an accurate recording of events). This phenomenon, however, merely suggests that an author has provided a persuasive interpretation. If another author were to write an interpretation of the Clutter murders more convincing than Capote's In Cold Blood, readers could conceivably reject Capote's version and accept the new author's account. The reader's ability to accept and reject different novelistic accounts of the same event is additional evidence that the reader recognizes the interpretive nature of the nonfiction novel. The reader is acutely aware that some interpretations are more convincing than others.

The relationship between the author and reader of the nonfiction novel is a generic one founded on the interpretive role of the author. The author recognizes his or her purpose is to persuade the reader to accept the author's interpretation, and the reader senses the author's speech plan and responds appropriately. The relationship is based on generic conceptions: the constituent parts (devices or elements that best serve the interpretation) are understood through the whole (the interpretation). Not all interpretations of

existing or current cultural experiences (supported by verifiable evidence) are nonfiction novels, but all nonfiction novels are, by their nature, interpretations of those experiences. The nonfiction novel is delineated from other interpretive forms by current literary conventions which hold that a novel is a longer narrative work.

This formulation of the nonfiction novel suggests that the nonfiction novel differs little from the historical novel. The difference resides primarily in how the author wants the reader to respond to the interpretation. Writers of historical novels present their works as interpretations of experiences that they see as sufficiently removed in time to place the events in the category of history. Their readers thus see the interpretation as a means of understanding past phenomena. Authors of nonfiction novels see the events they choose to interpret as events which have not yet temporally passed the ambiguous line which would make them histories. They present their accounts as interpretations of current events in our culture, and readers subsequently respond to the interpretations as a means of understanding contemporary rather than past phenomena. The two genres--historical novels and nonfiction novels--serve different functions, then, in relation to the respective authors' views of the events as historical or current.

In Cold Blood and The Executioner's Song share a generic bond in that they are both interpretive vehicles for current events for which verifiable evidence exists, and they are both longer narrative works. The interpretive nature of the two novels establishes the author/reader relationship. The two works, however, exhibit a strikingly different approach to their generic author/reader relationship. The preceding generic reconception of the nonfiction novel provides the basis for examining these divergent approaches and leads to a renewed conception of In Cold Blood and The Executioner's Song.

IN COLD BLOOD AND THE EXECUTIONER'S SONG RECONCEIVED

Capote and Mailer's contrasting approaches to the author/reader relationship in In Cold Blood and The Executioner's Song are revealed in reader cue devices they implement and in devices they incorporate as a result of their respective views of the role of the interpreter. An examination of how these devices affect the author/reader relationship serves as a foundation for arriving at a re-conception of the two works.

Contrasting Approaches to Generic Reader Cues

One of the primary differences between In Cold Blood and The Executioner's Song is the manner in which Capote and Mailer establish their relationship with readers. As they read nonfiction novels, readers anticipate generic cues which function to cultivate the generic relationship. For example, one cue would be the nonfiction label; another is the author's conventional personal note to the reader affirming that the work is based on verifiable evidence. A work can retain its generic form as a nonfiction novel without these specific kinds of cues, but the incorporation of them strengthens the author/reader relationship. The contrasting strategies Mailer and Capote adopt in the implementation of generic reader cues reveal their diverse approaches to establishing the author/reader relationship.

In Cold Blood carries the nonfiction novel's traditional "nonfiction" classification. That the work is not nonfiction--indeed, as earlier established, cannot be nonfiction--is a moot point. The nonfiction label serves not as an accurate description, but as a semantic cue to the reader that the work contains verifiable evidence. The label also serves to enhance the author's persuasive powers in that it suggests to the reader that the author believes the interpretation is perceptive. The nonfiction label attached to In Cold Blood functions as an early semantic cue to the readers that the work contains verifiable evidence and that Capote is presenting his work as an astute interpretation worthy of their acceptance.

In an unusual maneuver, Mailer secured a fiction classification for The Executioner's Song. The innovative author appears to recognize the fictive nature of interpretation and his fiction classification is an acknowledgment of that recognition. In attaching the fiction label, Mailer intentionally flaws his generic relationship with his readers. The reader is confronted with a work that purports to be an interpretive vehicle for "something that has happened" (other cues suggest that the work contains verifiable evidence), yet the work bears an inappropriate reader cue. Mailer's apparent strategy in the fiction classification was to nudge the reader into recognizing what has been

ascertained in the above generic definition of the non-fiction novel: the realization that all reconstructions of events are individual interpretive "fictions." Mailer exchanges the persuasive edge the nonfiction label provides for a reflexive reader cue that points to the nature of the genre.

The significance of the fiction classification of The Executioner's Song and its impact on the author/reader relationship is recognized by Hellmann.

This presentation of the book as essentially fiction despite its factual content is . . . consistent with Mailer's previous theoretical comments Calling the reader's attention to the inevitably transforming role of his fiction-making consciousness, he reminds the reader that his work, despite its factual content, is in the ultimate epistemological and ontological sense an artifice, an aesthetic shape that necessarily achieves mimesis of the external world through the constructing act of a shaping consciousness. (57)

Hellmann regards the fiction label as an accurate description of the form and thus an appropriate reader cue. He fails to recognize, however, that in exchange for a more accurate description, Mailer sacrifices a traditional generic reader cue; subsequently, he sacrifices one means of cultivating the author/reader relationship inherent in the nonfiction novel.

Hellmann sees Mailer's attempt to acknowledge the fictionalizing quality of human perception as a means of avoiding

"positivist assumptions" exhibited by such works as In Cold Blood (59). He is not alone in his appraisal of the technique. Phyllis Frus McCord in "The Ideology of Form: The Nonfiction Novel," points to Mailer's fiction classification of The Executioner's Song as one reason Mailer's work compares favorably to In Cold Blood.

Capote is expressing the positivist belief that there is a world which can be reproduced in language, that facts have inherent meaning, and that language is a neutral instrument for recording them, and thus he denies the ideology of language and of the form of In Cold Blood (70).

McCord's objections are based on her belief that Capote and his readers see his account as a factual one; however, the preceding formulation of the genre suggests that both authors and readers of the nonfiction novel recognize it as an interpretive vehicle. Readers comprehend that In Cold Blood is Capote's "world," not a world where "facts have inherent meaning." The reader's ability to accept and reject different novelistic accounts of the same event suggests that readers perceive that some interpretations are "better" than others, but recognize that none are "accurate" factual accounts. McCord's criticism partially stems from Capote's subtitle of the book as a "true account." This description of In Cold Blood certainly exhibits the positivist assumptions to which McCord objects, but it fails to detract from the generic form of the work. Capote's "true account"

subtitle of his work is compatible with his semantic non-fiction label cue: they are devices he utilizes to persuade his reader that his interpretation contains verifiable evidence and is superior to any other interpretation which addresses the Clutter case.

Both Capote and Mailer appear to recognize that classification labels serve as reader cues. Each, however, chooses to cue his reader in a different manner. Mailer sacrifices the persuasive advantage the nonfiction label provides in exchange for an acknowledgment of the interpretive, and thus fictive, nature of the form. Capote seeks to cultivate a generic relationship in which he functions as an advocate of his interpretation.

Another generic reader cue that contributes to the author/reader relationship in the nonfiction novel is the author's personal note to the reader. The note can take the form of a foreword or an afterword, or it can be incorporated into the "Acknowledgments" section. Capote cues his reader about the nature of his work in his "Acknowledgments" section which precedes the text of the novel.

All the material in this book not derived from my own observation is either taken from official records or is the result of interviews with the persons directly concerned, more often than not numerous interviews conducted over a considerable period of time (Acknowledgments).

Here, Capote sets the "generic stage" with an affirmation

of incorporated verifiable evidence and he acknowledges his participation as an observer. Mailer addresses his reader in the "Afterword" and, like Capote, he acknowledges the incorporation of verifiable evidence. Mailer initially appears to be more overtly persuasive than Capote in his personal note:

This book does its best to be a factual account of the activities of Gary Gilmore and the men and women associated with him in the period from April 9, 1976, when he was released from the United States Penitentiary at Marion, Illinois, until his execution a little more than nine months later in Utah State Prison (1020).

Earlier it was asserted that The Executioner's Song is an attempt to persuade the reader that Mailer's account of the Gary Gilmore case is the best interpretation available, one with which the reader could agree. At this point in his "Afterword," he appears to be providing his reader with the appropriate cue to accomplish that goal. Yet, after assuring the reader that the novel does its "best to be a factual account," Mailer qualifies his assertion by noting that "this does not mean that it has come a great deal closer to the truth than the recollections of the witnesses" (1020).

Mailer's purpose in this "post script" appears to match his purpose in attaching the fiction label: he seeks to emphasize the nature of the genre. The qualification in the "Afterword" suggests to the reader that this work is only one of several possible interpretations--a powerful admission that

could detract from the persuasiveness of Mailer's interpretation. Nonetheless, the initial message in the reader cue serves as a persuasive device and cultivates the generic relationship which underlies the nonfiction novel.

Unquestionably, Mailer's one thousand page account of the Gilmore execution is an attempt to provide a comprehensive and persuasive interpretation of that event. Although his reader cues are purposely mixed signals to the reader, his generic author/reader relationship is firmly established in that both Mailer and the reader recognize his work as an interpretive vehicle which incorporates verifiable evidence.

The conflicting attitudes apparent in Mailer and Capote's implementation of reader cues are also evident in the two authors' contrasting approaches to the role of the interpreter in the nonfiction novel. Capote sees his role as an informed interpreter capable of providing a selective interpretation based on his extensive knowledge about the "something that has happened."

The Role of the Interpreter in In Cold Blood

Capote approaches the role of the interpreter in In Cold Blood with a confident (some would say egotistical) attitude. His underlying assumption appears to be that, due to his "saturation" (Wolfe's term, 52) in the Clutter case, he has a superior understanding of the intricate

relationship between events. He sees the role of the interpreter as one who is responsible for making "connections" (McCord's term, 73) for the reader. Capote clearly imposes his own subjective cause and effect sequence--an ordering of connections--on the Clutter case to construct an interpretation which he anticipates the reader will accept as perceptive due to his access to the experience.

Capote's interpretation presupposes three fundamental premises: (1) Perry Smith, one of the two individuals convicted of the Clutter murders, is a person of sensitive qualities who is to be viewed sympathetically; (2) Richard Hickok, the other individual convicted of murder, is to be viewed less sympathetically than Smith; and (3) the Clutters are moral pillars of the Kansas community of Holcomb who become victims of a crime due to a series of circumstances. These three premises form the foundation for Capote's interpretation, and every detail, every scene of In Cold Blood contribute to that view of the event. Hollowell, although maintaining that In Cold Blood is "factual," recognizes that Capote's account presents "only one version of the facts" (74). Hollowell points out that Capote confirmed his selective approach when Capote asserted in an interview:

I had to make up my mind, and move towards one view, always. You can say that the reportage is incomplete. But then it has to be. It's a

question of selection, you wouldn't get anywhere if it wasn't for that I make my comment by what I choose to tell and how I choose to tell it. (74)

Capote's "one view" description confirms his recognition of the interpretive nature of the genre. Capote apprehends his generic purpose is to persuade the reader to accept his interpretation (his singular view), and he proceeds unswervingly towards that goal.

The primary premise, the sympathetic portrayal of Smith, is woven throughout the fabric of the novel. The reader is presented with verifiable evidence (Smith's brief written autobiographic account, his sister's letters, and his father's biographic account of Smith in the form of a letter to the parole board) attesting to the hardships of Smith's childhood. Through these documents and Capote's narration, the reader is informed that Smith comes from a rodeo family with no roots. The mother is portrayed as a negligent, alcoholic parent who separates from Smith's father, a treasure-hunting prospector. After selecting and interpreting these aspects of Smith's background, Capote makes the "connection" for the reader: Smith's background is directly linked to his participation in the murders. Capote overtly provides the reader with the connection when he constructs a conversation between Smith and a friend after Smith's arrest for the murders. Smith is attempting

to explain what appear to be inexplicable murders.

'I was sore at Dick. The tough brass boy. But it wasn't Dick. Or the fear of being identified. I was willing to take that gamble. And it wasn't because of anything the Clutters did. They never hurt me. Like other people. Like people have all my life. Maybe it's just that the Clutters were the ones who had to pay for it.' (326)

Through this conversation, Capote interprets the events for the reader. Capote is clearly suggesting that Smith's background is the true culprit. The guilt is laid upon social factors rather than on Smith.

Capote as the interpreter in In Cold Blood, although controlling the reader's perception of Smith's character, never surfaces in the role of a narrator. In several scenes Smith reminisces about his background, but the reader can only surmise where Smith's recollections take place and to whom he is relating them. The implication is that Smith is looking back on his life after his conviction and relating his experiences to the narrator of In Cold Blood. The narrator's presence, however, is never overtly acknowledged in the recollections. The following scene illustrates the manner in which Capote encourages the reader to sympathize with Smith while avoiding acknowledging his role as the narrator/interpreter. The scene focuses on an argument between Smith and his father and begins with omniscient narration, then switches to Smith's first-person account of

the argument.

By the time Perry arrived at the site of the proposed hunting lodge, his father, working alone, had finished the hardest chores--had cleared the ground, logged the necessary timber, cracked and crated wagonloads of native rock. 'But he didn't commence to build till I got there. We did every damn piece of it ourselves. With once in a while an Indian helper. Dad was like a maniac.' (157)

Smith proceeds to explain that the lodge he and his father built eventually failed, and he suggests his father turned his disappointment into anger directed toward Smith. Their tensions erupt into a physical confrontation.

My hands got hold of his throat. My hands--but I couldn't control them. They wanted to choke him to death. Dad though, he's slippery, a smart wrestler. He tore loose and ran to get his gun. Came back pointing it at me. He said, 'Look at me, Perry. I'm the last thing living you're ever gonna see.'

The recollection, presented without any evidence of the listening narrator, not only evokes sympathy for Smith, but does it in such a manner that readers are not consciously aware that the narrator is serving as a mediator. The absence of an overt narrator serves to persuade readers that they have direct access to the details of the occurrence, details which are being selected and constructed by Capote. McCord views this technique as an unethical presentation of events in In Cold Blood.

In Capote's novel, interpretation and facts are asserted as 'the truth about the world' and the power of the illusionary technique is not qualified

by the presence of a dramatized narrator or other qualifier of the text's supposed transparency . . . (76).

William Wiegand, however, sees such techniques as assets.

Wiegand suggests that with his techniques, Capote is establishing a "meaning" for the Clutter murders.

The real strength of the Capote book is achieved by the way he exploits a whole battery of novelistic techniques which enforce the structure and hence the meaning of the Clutter case (247).

Wiegand's assessment might have been more accurate had he qualified his assertion by noting that Capote's techniques enforce "his meaning" of the Clutter case. Nonetheless, Wiegand's point is well-taken. Capote's techniques, although exhibiting the positivist assumptions that Hellmann and McCord find distasteful, serve to support the interpretation he wishes to persuade the reader to accept.

Capote's sympathetic portrayal of Smith is further encouraged by additional evidence Capote selectively incorporates. It is Smith's recorded confession, not Hickok's, that the reader is given. In the confession, Smith describes how he attempted to dissuade Hickok from going through with the plans to murder the family, how he tried to make the victims more "comfortable," and how he protected young Nancy Clutter from Hickok's rape intentions. With this confession, the reader sees Smith through Capote's eyes and is induced to agree with Capote's interpretation of Smith as a murderer with compassionate and sensitive qualities.

Smith's confession is accompanied by the arresting officer's thoughts which serve to add to the groundwork Capote has provided. Dewey, the arresting officer, comes to his own conclusion after hearing Smith's account of the murders.

The crime was a psychological accident, virtually an impersonal act; the victims might as well have been killed by lightning. Except for one thing: they had experienced prolonged terror, they had suffered. And Dewey could not forget their sufferings. Nonetheless, he found it possible to look at the man beside him without anger--with, rather, a measure of sympathy--for Perry Smith's life had been no bed of roses but pitiful, an ugly and long progress toward one mirage and then another. (277)

Dewey's conclusion serves to form the crux of Capote's interpretation of Smith: the convicted killer deserves no mercy, but certainly sympathy. That Capote's interpretation of Smith is not a factual account, but an interpretive select one is apparent. McCord appears appalled at Capote's presentation of Smith, and she terms both Smith and Hickok "repulsive, illiterate, antisocial criminals" (73). McCord is no more "factually accurate" than Capote. She merely interprets the events (from a second-hand distance) in a different manner than Capote even though her conflicting interpretation is based on the verifiable evidence that Capote presents. The genre lends itself to speculative interpretation--to deny that aspect of the nonfiction novel is to deny the nature of the genre.

Although McCord, Wiegand, and Hellmann describe Capote's interpretation of Hickok as equally sympathetic to his interpretation of Smith, a close reading of the novel reveals that Capote presents Hickok as a far less appealing character. A pivotal scene serves to illustrate Capote's harsher treatment of Hickok. In the scene, Smith confides to Hickok that "'I think there must be something wrong with us. To do what we did'" (127). Hickok's callous reply of "'Deal me out, baby. I'm a normal'" (128) is followed by a description of their departure from the Mexican hilltop where they had briefly stopped:

The car was moving. A hundred feet ahead, a dog trotted along the side of the road. Dick (Hickok) swerved toward it. It was an old half-dead mongrel, brittle boned and mangy, and the impact, as it met the car was little more than what a bird might make. But Dick was satisfied. 'Boy!' he said--and it was what he always said after running down a dog, which was something he did whenever the opportunity arose. 'Boy! We sure splattered him!' (133)

The reader is left with no doubt about who is the petty, conscience-lacking killer of the two--and who is the less "normal" of the pair.

In addition to scenes like this, Capote selectively omits elements of Hickok's life and his participation in the Clutter case. Hickok's biographical background is far less extensive than Smith's. A neighbor's view that Hickok comes from "plain, honest people" (192) suggests to the reader

that Hickok's background is less to blame for the crime than is Hickok. Glaringly absent is Hickok's recorded confession to the crime, an absence that suggests to the reader that Smith's confession, presented in full detail, is the more "accurate" of the two. Capote's harsh treatment of Hickok serves to underscore his generous treatment of Smith. He attempts to persuade the reader to see Smith as a "victim" of Hickok as well as of his own past.

The final premise that underlies Capote's interpretation of the Clutter murders is his portrayal of the Clutter family. Capote's view of the Clutters is noted by Hollowell:

. . . the Clutter family is shown to typify all of the traditional American values. Herbert Clutter is a man whose prosperity is built upon hard work, endurance, and faith in God. He is a pillar of the community, a local booster, and a successful farmer--a man who has played hard by the rules and won. (80)

Hollowell describes this portrayal as illustrative of Capote's "relentless care to present only facts" while he achieves a "mythic significance" (79). Although this portrayal of the Clutters may incorporate verifiable evidence, it hardly qualifies as a factual presentation of their life. Their status as the typical midwestern family is Capote's interpretation of their place in his chain of events. He enhances the moral and idyllic nature of their life by attributing an Edenic quality to their ranch:

. . . as Mr. Clutter often remarked, 'an inch more of rain and this country would be paradise--Eden on earth.' The little collection of fruit-bearers growing by the river was his attempt to contrive, rain or no, a patch of the paradise, the green, apple-scented Eden, he envisioned. (23)

Capote thus sets the scene for the two interlopers to enter his view of the Clutter "Eden."

From his premises, Capote constructs his vision of the Clutter case: Smith, the victim of his past and of Hickok, is led to slaughter brutally, yet dispassionately, the virtuous Clutters who were victims of fate. Despite Capote's subtitle of the novel as a "true account" and his claim to the "factual accuracy" (McCord 71) of the book, Capote, as Hollowell has pointed out, was well aware that his vision of the Clutter case was only "one view."

Capote's claim of factual accuracy has been a point of debate among critics. For example, Robert Siegle describes the judgment of those who find the novel "historically accurate" a bit "too indulgent" (438). A new conception of the nonfiction novel, however, suggests that critics who debate these unanswerable epistemological questions are debating a futile issue. Facts cannot be retrieved; interpretations based on verifiable evidence, however, can be offered. Capote's claim of factual accuracy is understandably met with skepticism, but the claim becomes more acceptable when it is recognized as an affirmation of verifiable

evidence. An attitude common among current critics is that Capote's work is a subjective view presented as a factual account. The previously offered conception of the generic nature of the nonfiction novel, however, suggests that both author and reader recognize In Cold Blood as an interpretive work. Those who criticize the positivism and egotism evident in In Cold Blood underestimate the sophistication of readers who recognize, as did Capote, that his interpretation is just "one view" he moved toward always. Implicit in Capote's singular vision of events is his perception of the interpreter/maker whose role is to make choices and connections for the reader. In contrast, Mailer sees the role of the interpreter as one who diffuses and fragments events.

The Role of the Interpreter in The Executioner's Song

Mailer's view of the role of the interpreter in The Executioner's Song is revealed in the premise that controls his interpretation of the Gary Gilmore execution. Mailer seeks to filter the events through as many "consciousnesses" as possible. The reader is left with no clear cut interpretation of Gilmore as either a victim or villain, only a detached recording of numerous impressions about events which lead to Gilmore's execution, impressions that are presented as the views of a host of witnesses and participants. The narrator in The Executioner's Song is apparent as a

type of stenographer-recorder who presents the individual views but who appears to make no comment on them. The recording of various impressions is a technique which serves the same reflexive purpose as Mailer's fiction classification cue and his qualification in the "Afterword" in that the technique points to the nature of the genre. The device suggests that each view of an event is a subjective view, an interpretive act. Mailer presents these numerous views in such a way that the focus is on the viewer rather than on what is being viewed.

Mailer's reflexive technique is reinforced by the two sections of the book labeled "Western Voices" and "Eastern Voices" respectively. Individuals who figure prominently in "Western Voices" include Brenda Nicol, Nicole Baker, and to a lesser degree, Gilmore himself. Several additional individuals occupy the center stage as the viewing consciousness throughout the first section, but much of the story is told through the eyes of these three primary characters.

"Western Voices" covers events which begin with Gilmore's release from prison and culminate with Gilmore's conviction of murder and his death penalty sentence. The events proceed chronologically, but appear fragmented due to the variety of so many individual points of view and remote due to the second-hand nature of the filtering consciousnesses. We see Gilmore's release from prison through

Brenda's view; the emphasis, however, is on Brenda rather than Gilmore.

The prison sure cut his hair short. It would, Brenda judged, be heavy handsome brown hair when it grew out, but for now it stuck up hick style in the back. He kept pushing it down.

No matter, she liked his looks. In the half-light that came into the car as they drove through Salt Lake on the Interstate, the city sleeping on both sides of them, she decided that Gary was everything she expected in that department. A long, fine nose, good chin, thin well-shaped lips. He had character about his face. (25)

Brenda's sensual appraisal of Gilmore suggests that she is attracted to her cousin. The attraction is implied but never explicitly noted by an intervening narrator or overtly acknowledged by Brenda. The burden is on the reader to make the connection. Furthermore, the reader's vision of Gilmore is channeled through Brenda's view and the reader is left with a distinct impression of Brenda and a remote sense of Gilmore. The Executioner's Song is ostensibly the story of Gilmore; however, as the events unfold, readers recognize this to be a story about individual interpretations of Gilmore and his actions.

The reader is witness to Gilmore's first meeting with Nicole, Gilmore's girlfriend, through Nicole's eyes. Mailer slowly leads the reader to see Nicole as a fragile and bewildered young girl who is simultaneously naive and sexually promiscuous. The narrator never describes Nicole

as such; readers gradually come to this understanding of her character through Nicole's views of Gilmore and the events surrounding his execution. Their first meeting takes place at a mutual friend's house. Nicole arrives scantily clad.

Nicole made a pretense of ignoring the new fellow, but there was something about him. When their eyes met, he looked at her and said, 'I know you.' Nicole didn't say anything in reply. For a split second, something flashed in her mind but then she thought, No, I've never met him before, I know that. Maybe I know him from another time. (83)

With scenes such as this, Mailer keeps Gilmore indistinct and in the background while Nicole slowly materializes into a central figure with distinct characteristics. Our image of Gilmore is colored by Nicole's vision; thus, in order to understand Gilmore, we must first understand Nicole and her own particular subjective view of him.

Because fewer scenes filter through Gilmore, he remains a secondary character. The same detached narrative voice which provides other individual views is also present in Gilmore's scenes. Gilmore's view is just one of many, Mailer implies, even though he is the central focus of the novel. The reader sees the first murder through Gilmore's eyes without comment by the narrator.

Gilmore brought the Automatic to Jensen's head. 'This one is for me,' he said and fired.

'This one is for Nicole,' he said, and fired again.

He stood up. There was a lot of blood. It spread across the floor at a surprising rate. Some of it got onto the bottom of his pant.

He walked out of the rest rooms with bills in his pocket, and the coin changer in his hand, walked out of this real clean gas station. (227)

As shocking as the scene is, it is presented almost clinically as though the narrator were transcribing an emotionless account from Gilmore. The narrator offers no explanation of why "This one is for Nicole," and the question of Gilmore's motives remains unresolved for the reader.

The lack of narrator comment in The Executioner's Song, according to McCord, is one way of avoiding the positivist assumptions evident in In Cold Blood:

The important difference between (the style of narration in The Executioner's Song) and the solemn historic narration of In Cold Blood is that there are many more possible interpretations for each 'event' or sequence, and because the narrator of The Executioner's Song has not assimilated the individual narrations but allows us to attribute them to a particular consciousness, the narrative is not hierarchically ordered or closed off or 'given' to us in inevitable form (75).

McCord's observation that a hierarchy of connected events is not "given" to readers in The Executioner's Song brings into question how such an interpretation affects readers. The ordered and narrowly constructed interpretation of In Cold Blood is recognized by the reader as very obviously

Capote's.. The manner in which the events are presented in The Executioner's Song suggests that Mailer is not interpreting an experience, but instead presenting a vast array of views about a series of events that lead to a man's execution. The lack of narrator comment and the apparent attempt to avoid subjective speculation suggest to the reader that Mailer's interpretation is less ideosyncratic than Capote's-- is perhaps less interpretive and more objective.

Mailer's techniques persuade Hellmann to find The Executioner's Song more factually "accurate" than In Cold Blood. He explains.

. . . Capote has admitted selecting his materials according to whether they agreed with his own interpretation. (Mailer orders contradictory views in a narrative of more massive detail.) The result is that, however factual In Cold Blood may be, Capote appears to have stretched the material in ways disturbingly close to the approximating illusions associated with realistic fiction, while continuing to claim a journalistic contract. Mailer's book, in contrast, appears to adhere more strictly to journalistic standards of accuracy, while it more frankly admits to the complex role of inevitably fictionalizing consciousness. (65)

Hellmann's description of journalistic "accuracy" corresponds closely to the earlier offered definition of "verifiable evidence." Hellmann's remarks, then, suggest that he believes Mailer's work doesn't stray far from verifiable evidence. In fact, Mailer appears to be well aware of his role as an interpreter and of his need to manipulate verifiable

evidence and to construct a subjective view of the Gilmore case. Shelley Fisher Fishkin in From Fact To Fiction reveals that, like Capote, Mailer "stretched" verifiable evidence.

When Mailer appeared as a guest at my seminar on 'The Journalist as Novelist' at Yale, students confronted him with several (charges of inaccuracy). He refuted them, asserting that he had, in fact, been meticulously accurate on those points. On the same occasion, however, he also confessed to having largely invented several other parts of the book ('the stuff on Gilmore's mother is probably two-thirds fanciful. The stuff on April, the sister of Nicole, is probably three-quarters fanciful I'd say it was ninety-five percent fictional, in fact, with April . . .'). (208)

Fishkin's comments reveal that Mailer recognizes he is locked into his role as an interpreter. He arrives at a personal vision of a character and he constructs that vision for his readers. Mailer's "fanciful" view of April and Gilmore's mother is not a violation of Hellmann's journalistic contract--although the character constructions attest to the invalidity of the concept of the contract. Rather, Mailer apparently composes the material with the same intention as all authors of nonfiction novels: to convince readers to accept his view, his interpretation, of the events and characters. His "fanciful" constructions help him to accomplish that purpose. Mailer is the speculative interpreter not only in that he "stretches" verifiable evidence, but also in that he selects and shapes each piece of verifiable evidence he incorporates into the story.

Although Mailer exhibits the generic intention of attempting to persuade his readers to agree with his interpretation, he could conceivably lose a certain degree of persuasiveness in his sophisticated epistemological approach of presenting contrasting views: the reader may recognize the infinite number of interpretations inherent in the Gilmore case and ultimately be reluctant to agree with any of them regardless of the verifiable evidence.

In "Eastern Voices," readers are introduced to the source of the verifiable evidence and to the unidentified but clearly implied narrator of the novel. Both appear in the persona of Larry Schiller. Schiller is the dominate consciousness throughout the second section of the book which begins with the media's attention to Gilmore's refusal to appeal his death penalty and culminates with Gilmore's execution and Schiller's subsequent activities.

A blend of photographer, writer, and producer, Schiller arrives on the scene after Gilmore publicly announces he does not wish to appeal his sentence. Schiller establishes a rapport with Gilmore and subsequently acquires rights to the story from Gilmore, Nicole, and other close relatives and friends of Gilmore. Schiller's acquisition of rights and of letters and documents relevant to the event and his intensive interviews with the participants clearly identify him as the clinical reporter evident in "Western Voices."

In a scene which focuses on Schiller, Mailer explicitly reminds readers that all events supported by verifiable evidence exist in different versions (can be interpreted differently) through different viewpoints. The reminder comes in a scene in which Schiller is attempting to ascertain the different ways the Gilmore story can be presented according to the acquisition of rights.

If for example, he got Gilmore's okay without Nicole's, a scenario could be worked up of a guy who comes out of prison and struggles with his old con habits, but finally kills a man, a study of the pains of getting out of jail

On the other hand, said Schiller, if they got the girl, but couldn't succeed in signing up Gilmore, they might do an interesting struggle of two sisters both in love with the same criminal. They'd have to substitute a fictionalized criminal, but could explore the triangle. Or they could focus completely on Nicole and turn the thing into a study of a young girl who has been married a few times, is saddled with children, then falls in love with a criminal. Play down the murders, but emphasize the romantic difficulties of trying to live with a man that society does not trust. (580)

With Schiller's proposed scenarios, Mailer reminds readers of the nature of the genre. Different approaches to the verifiable evidence result in different interpretations; we clearly recognize that each of these scenarios appears in some form in the novel.

The explicit link so evident in Capote's interpretation of Smith's background and the Clutter murders is never present in Mailer's view of Gilmore's background and his

subsequent actions. Possible "connections" between social factors and their consequences are offered only as speculations from various participants. Barry Farrell, a writer hired by Schiller to transcribe Gilmore's interviews, ponders the various possible cause and effect sequences of Gilmore's acts.

. . . there would be hours when Farrell would be seized with depression at how few were the answers they had located in the inner works of Gary Mark Gilmore, and the size of the questions that remained. How could they begin to explain things so basic, for example, as the way he had led Nicole into suicide? That was clammy. Could you call such depths of lover's perfidy a product of environment? Might you dare to explain it by saying that only an urban cowboy could pass through psychological machines that would stamp you out that badly? Could you say that you had to eat the wrong foods, sleep in the wrong places, take the wrong drugs, drive the wrong cars, make the wrong turns, do all that for an awful long time before you turned into a force who did horrible things to people who loved you?

Or did you put the blame on heredity, and say Gary Gilmore grew out of the evil seed of mystery in things itself? (812)

Farrell's failure to find a definitive reason for Gilmore's attempt to act as an instrument of Nicole's death (the inability to find a cause for the effect) suggests the futility of attempting to impose an ordered cause and effect sequence on events. Mailer provides speculations about such possible connections, but refuses to endorse one over the other. Mailer has described The Executioner's Song as a "book which doesn't have answers, but poses delicate

questions" (McCord 77). A scene such as this, in which Farrell fumbles unsuccessfully for answers, creates the effect Mailer is attempting to achieve with the novel.

Rather than providing an "answer," Mailer, in his role as an interpreter, assumes the posture of a "noninterpretive" spectator who provides a "balanced" view of numerous possibilities through the conflicting impressions from the various participants. A scene in the novel emphasizes the importance of presenting balanced contrasting views in a conversation Schiller conducts with media representatives who are turning their focus on Schiller as a major participant in the Gilmore case. Schiller is enraged that the media are portraying him as a hustler and entrepreneur.

'We got to lay out a few ground rules. If you want to say that I hustled interviews from Lenny Bruce's widow, then I also want you to write about Minamata which is a book I'm proud of. If you want a picture of Marilyn Monroe, then also put in a picture from the story I published on mercury poisoning.' He said, 'If you're going to slant the story one way, balance it the other,' and he banged it back, and he banged it forth, and could feel his blood flowing through his veins again, instead of all that shit. (979)

Just as Dewey, the arresting officer of Smith, supplies the crux of Capote's interpretation of the Clutter murders, Schiller provides the foundation of Mailer's comprehensive interpretation: "If you're going to slant the story one way, balance it the other." Mailer appears to implement

this maxim in The Executioner's Song; however, as earlier evidence suggests, the balanced view is merely an appearance. Mailer has selectively incorporated verifiable evidence and constructed a narrative that is purely Mailer's view. His "constructions" of each individual--Nicole is a naive instrument of Gilmore; Brenda is a helpful cousin who is subconsciously attracted to Gilmore--combine to create a view of the events that may not be a unified, singular vision, but is certainly a speculative, personal one. Mailer's role as an interpreter is as firmly established as Capote's. It is only his approach to that role that differs significantly.

Polar Versions of the Nonfiction Novel

The contrasting approaches to the role of the interpreter in The Executioner's Song and In Cold Blood provide a useful basis for comprehending the polar qualities of these two novels. Capote sees his role as one who controls and defines the event; Mailer sees his role as one who controls and defines various interpretations of the event. Their contrasting approaches are revealed in the devices they employ: Mailer incorporates a barrage of reflexive devices to draw the reader's attention to the interpretive nature of the form; Capote employs techniques which deflect the reader's attention from the form and focus it onto his interpretation. Mailer's devices disguise his subjective

views in that The Executioner's Song appears to be a multiplicity of views, but, in fact, is Mailer's personal vision. Capote's devices reveal his subjective views in that In Cold Blood is more obviously a singular vision. The two novels remain polar versions of the genre because the two authors exhibit opposing views of their interpretive roles through their contrasting devices.

The different approaches to the role of the interpreter in The Executioner's Song and In Cold Blood appear striking, but, when examined, they serve to reinforce the generic bond between the two works. A reconception of the two novels suggests that the polarity of the two works is revealed in the devices the two authors incorporate into the genre in order to approach the interpretive role differently. Hirsch and Bakhtin have pointed out that devices tell us little about the nature of a work; instead, the author/reader relationship defines and formulates the genre. Readers of In Cold Blood and The Executioner's Song can understand the material in the two works only in relation to their view of the genre of the nonfiction novel, a view that sees the nonfiction novel as an interpretive vehicle which incorporates verifiable evidence. Mailer and Capote also recognize that the nonfiction novel is an interpretive vehicle and they construct their respective works

accordingly, incorporating any device or element that best serves their view of the role of the interpreter. Each author also foregrounds his intention to persuade readers to accept his interpretation as a perceptive understanding of the event he has selected to interpret. A generic reconception of the two novels recognizes the relationship that evolves as a result of the two authors' interpretive--and persuasive--"speech plan" and their readers' ability to "sense" that plan.

WORKS CITED

Primary Sources

Capote, Truman. In Cold Blood. New York: New American Library, 1965.

Mailer, Norman. The Executioner's Song. New York: Warner Books, Inc., 1979.

Secondary Sources

Bakhtin, Mikhail. "Speech Genres." Speech Genres and Other Late Essays. Ed. Caryl Emerson and M. Holquist. Trans. V. W. McGee. Austin: University of Texas Press, 1986.

Fishkin, Shelley Fisher. From Fact To Fiction. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press, 1985.

Hellmann, John. Fables of Fact. Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1981.

Hirsch, E. D. "The Concept of Genre." Validity in Interpretation. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1967.

Hollowell, John. Fact and Fiction. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1977.

McCord, Phyllis Frus. "The Ideology of Form: The Nonfiction Novel." Genre 19 (Spring, 1986): 59-79.

Siegle, Robert. "Capote's Handcarved Coffins and The Non-fiction Novel." Contemporary Literature 25 (Winter, 1984): 437-451.

Wiegand, William. "The 'Nonfiction' Novel." New Mexico Quarterly 37 (Autumn, 1967): 243-257.

Wolfe, Tom. The New Journalism. Ed. Tom Wolfe and E. W. Johnson. New York: Harper and Row, 1973.

WORKS CONSULTED

Genre Theory

- Cohen, Ralph. "Do Postmodern Genres Exist?" Genre 20 (Fall-Winter, 1987): 241-258.
- Derrida, Jacques. "The Law of Genre." Glyph 7. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press, 1980.
- Frye, Northrop. Anatomy of Criticism. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1957.
- Jakobson, Roman. "The Dominant." Readings in Russian Poetics: Formalist and Structuralist Views. Ed. L. Matejka and K. Pomorska. Cambridge: MIT Press, 1971.
- Kent, Thomas L. "Interpretation and Genre Perception." Semiotica 56 (1985): 133-145.
- Todorov, Tzvetan. The Fantastic. Trans. R. Howard. Cleveland: Case Western Reserve University, 1973.

Nonfiction Novel

- Bawer, Bruce. "Diminishing Fictions: Four Decades of the Novel." New Criterion (Summer, 1985): 11-21.
- Brown, Charles H. "New Art Journalism Revisited." Quill 60 (March, 1972): 18-23.